Structural abnormalities in gyri of the prefrontal cortex in individuals with schizophrenia and their unaffected siblings


Background
The relatives of individuals with schizophrenia exhibit deficits of overall frontal lobe volume, consistent with a genetic contribution to these deficits.

Aims
To quantify the structure of gyral-defined subregions of prefrontal cortex in individuals with schizophrenia and their siblings.

Method
Grey matter volume, cortical thickness, and surface area of the superior, middle and inferior frontal gyri were measured in participants with schizophrenia and their unaffected (non-psychotic) siblings (n = 26 pairs), and controls and their siblings (n = 40 pairs).

Results
Grey matter volume was reduced in the middle and inferior frontal gyri of individuals with schizophrenia, relative to controls. However, only inferior frontal gyrus volume was also reduced in the unaffected siblings of those with schizophrenia, yielding a volume intermediate between their affected siblings and controls.

Conclusions
The structure of subregions of the prefrontal cortex may be differentially influenced by genetic factors in schizophrenia, with inferior frontal gyrus volume being most related to familial risk.
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The frontal cortex is involved in the processing of a variety of cognitive and behavioural domains that are relevant to the pathophysiology of schizophrenia, including executive function, working memory, language production, attention, motivation and emotional processing.1 Studies that have partitioned the frontal cortex according to intrinsic sulcal/gyral anatomy have generally identified the inferior and/or middle frontal gyri as regions of grey matter loss in individuals with schizophrenia2–6 (but see Crespo-Facorro et al).7 Studies of the unaffected (non-psychotic) siblings of individuals with schizophrenia can help to determine whether such findings are due to genetic factors, since siblings share on average 50% of their genetic variants. Prior studies suggest that the relatives of individuals with schizophrenia exhibit abnormalities of overall frontal lobe volume8,9 (but see Staal et al).10 However, such studies have not addressed whether gross volume deficits were localised to particular frontal gyri or subregions, which is important because of the specific roles such regions play in cognition. In the present study, we examined the structure of three prefrontal cortical gyri using modern computational neuroanatomy in conjunction with manual gyral delineation in individuals with schizophrenia and their siblings, and in control individuals and their siblings.

Method
Participants
The participants included in this study were drawn from a population of individuals who volunteered for studies of brain structure and function at the Conte Center for the Neuroscience of Mental Disorders at Washington University School of Medicine in St Louis, USA. Participants consisted of pairs of individuals with DSM–IV11 schizophrenia (schizophrenia group) and their unaffected siblings (schizophrenia-siblings group) (n = 26 pairs), and healthy controls (control group) and their siblings (control-siblings group) (n = 40 pairs) (Table 1). Siblings were full siblings, based on self-report. This cohort of individuals was substantially similar to that used in our previous reports on the thalamus and basal ganglia (122 participants in common)14,15 and cingulate gyrus (128 participants in common).16 All participants gave written informed consent for participation following a complete description of the risks and benefits of the study.

Individuals in the schizophrenia group met DSM–IV criteria for schizophrenia and were all out-patients at the time of study. The control group had no lifetime history of any DSM–IV psychotic or major mood disorder (i.e. major depressive disorder and bipolar disorder) and no first-degree relatives with a psychotic disorder. Potential schizophrenia-siblings group participants were excluded if they had a lifetime history of any DSM–IV psychotic disorder, but not other DSM–IV Axis I disorders. Individuals in the control-siblings group met the same inclusion/exclusion criteria as the schizophrenia-siblings group (other than the requirement of having a sibling with schizophrenia) and were included to control for possible effects arising from the impact of psychiatric disorders other than schizophrenia. The schizophrenia-siblings group and control-siblings group had similar lifetime histories of DSM–IV defined substance dependence (23% and 8% respectively, P = 0.1, Fisher’s exact test), mood disorder (30% and 35%, P = 0.3) and anxiety disorder (23% and 15%, P = 0.5). The schizophrenia group were treated with antipsychotic medications (primarily atypicals), and had been clinically stable for at least 2 weeks prior to their participation. People in any group were excluded if they met DSM–IV criteria for substance abuse or dependence within the 3 months preceding assessment. Further details of participant recruitment, assessment, antipsychotic medication and lifetime comorbidities are available elsewhere.14,15
### Table 1: Profiles of the participants in the schizophrenia (SCZ), schizophrenia-siblings (SCZ–SIB), control (CON) and control–siblings (CON–SIB) groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>SCZ&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; n = 26</th>
<th>SCZ–SIB n = 26</th>
<th>CON–SIB n = 40</th>
<th>CON n = 40</th>
<th>P&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years: mean (s.d.)</td>
<td>22.7 (3.3)</td>
<td>22.3 (3.4)</td>
<td>20.3 (3.6)</td>
<td>21.2 (3.6)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>4 (15.4)</td>
<td>16 (61.5)</td>
<td>28 (70.0)</td>
<td>17 (42.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>22 (86.4)</td>
<td>10 (38.5)</td>
<td>12 (30.0)</td>
<td>23 (57.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>9 (36.4)</td>
<td>9 (36.4)</td>
<td>8 (20.0)</td>
<td>8 (20.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>17 (65.4)</td>
<td>17 (65.4)</td>
<td>32 (80.0)</td>
<td>32 (80.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handedness, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>22 (84.6)</td>
<td>23 (88.5)</td>
<td>37 (92.5)</td>
<td>34 (85.0)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>4 (15.4)</td>
<td>3 (11.5)</td>
<td>3 (7.5)</td>
<td>6 (15.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental SES, mean (s.d.)</td>
<td>3.1 (1.2)</td>
<td>3.0 (1.1)</td>
<td>3.1 (0.9)</td>
<td>2.9 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystallised IQ, least square mean (s.e) [% difference relative to the SCZ–SIB value]</td>
<td>9.0 (0.81) [–14.3]</td>
<td>10.5 (0.65)</td>
<td>11.9 (0.53) [13.3]</td>
<td>11.7 (0.49) [11.4]</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-PFC cortical GM volume, cm³; least square mean (s.e) [% difference relative to the SCZ–SIB value]</td>
<td>349 (8.9) [–3.6]</td>
<td>362 (5.9)</td>
<td>390 (6.1) [7.7]</td>
<td>381 (6.3) [5.3]</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-PFC white matter surface area, cm³; least square mean (s.e) [% difference relative to the SCZ–SIB value]</td>
<td>1348 (31.7) [–3.7]</td>
<td>1399 (24.8)</td>
<td>1485 (22.3) [6.2]</td>
<td>1464 (19.9) [4.7]</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean cortical thickness, mm; least square mean (s.e) [% difference relative to the SCZ–SIB value]</td>
<td>2.43 (0.016) [–0.8]</td>
<td>2.45 (0.013)</td>
<td>2.49 (0.013) [1.6]</td>
<td>2.47 (0.013) [0.8]</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Clinical and cognitive assessments

Psychopathology and cognitive function were assessed as previously described using a battery of clinical and neuropsychological tests that were z-scored (against a centre-wide sample) and subsequently averaged within domains to yield summary scores on three domains of clinical symptoms – positive symptoms, negative symptoms, and thought disorganisation – and four broad cognitive domains – working memory, episodic memory, executive function and attention. The mean scores of these domains by participant group were nearly identical to those previously reported.

### Image collection and preparation

Magnetic resonance scans were collected using a Siemens Magnetom Vision 1.5T imaging system using a standard head coil. The magnetic resonance scanning protocol included the collection of multiple (2–4) high-resolution, three-dimensional T<sub>1</sub>-weighted MPRAGE volumes: voxel size 1 × 1 × 1.25 mm<sup>3</sup>, repetition time (TR) 1765 ms, inversion time (TI) 640 ms<sup>3</sup>, echo time (TE) 4.0 ms, flip angle 10°, and scan time 6.5 min per acquisition. All MPRAGE scans for each individual were aligned and averaged to create a low-noise image volume, which was then tri-linearly interpolated into 0.5 × 0.5 × 0.5 mm<sup>3</sup> isotropic voxels to produce smoother intensity histograms for more accurate segmentation. A region of interest containing frontal cortex was defined in the preprocessed image volume, and non-brain tissue (e.g. skull and dura) manually removed. Gaussian mixture modelling was used to segment this region into grey matter, white matter and cerebrospinal fluid, typically using three Gaussians to model each tissue class. The validity of this approach to tissue segmentation of prefrontal cortex has been previously established.

A topologically correct surface (i.e. no ‘holes’ or ‘handles’ in the surface mesh) was then generated at the white/grey matter interface. References to simply ‘surface’ or ‘surface area’ refer to the white/grey matter interface.

### Delineation of prefrontal subregions

Four raters, masked to the group status of the participants, used dynamic programming to manually delineate the sulcal boundaries of the superior, middle and inferior frontal gyri on the frontal white matter surface using a set of detailed anatomical rules (Fig. 1, see online Fig. DS1 for a colour version of this figure). There was a high degree of both inter- and intrarater consistency in the delineation of these boundaries, with the average surface overlap exceeding 94% for both inter- and intrarater comparisons (see online supplement for details).

### Prefrontal measures

The volume and thickness of each prefrontal subregion was calculated from labelled cortical distance maps. Grey matter volume was determined as the volume represented by the grey matter-labelled voxels in the tissue-segmented volume for which...
In general, the boundaries for the superior, middle and inferior frontal gyri followed the depth of prominent sulci, except when necessary to cross over the crown of a gyrus to bridge an interrupted sulcus. The posterior-lateral border for all three regions was the precentral sulcus (PCS), using the most posterior sulcus in cases of a forked termination or parallel sulci. The posterio-medial limit of the superior frontal gyrus was the paracentral sulcus (PaCS), which typically is an extension of the superior precentral sulcus and/or a branch of the cingulate sulcus. The infero-medial border of the superior frontal gyrus was the cingulate sulcus (CiS), so that the more superior paracingulate sulcus was considered part of the superior frontal gyrus if present. The antero-infero-medial border of the superior frontal gyrus was the superior rostral sulcus (SRoS) whose posterior aspect was extended to intersect the cingulate sulcus if necessary to complete the infero-medial boundary of the superior frontal gyrus. Antero-laterally, there is no consistent sulcal boundary to delimit prefrontal cortex from the cytoarchitectonically distinct frontal pole. Therefore, a frontal pole plane (dashed line) was defined as the coronal section (in an anterior/posterior-commissure oriented brain) passing through the anterior termination of the olfactory sulcus. This frontal pole plane defined the anterior limit of the superior and middle frontal gyri (regardless of any naturally occurring sulci that infrequently might be present). Frequently the superior rostral sulcus does not extend to the frontal pole plane and in these cases the anterior-medial boundary of the superior frontal gyrus was completed by connecting the frontal pole plane to the superior rostral sulcus (along the superior edge where the surface transitions from the medial bank to the lateral convexity). Laterally, the superior frontal sulcus (SFS) and inferior frontal sulcus (IFS) defined the boundary between the superior/middle frontal gyri and middle/inferior frontal gyrus respectively. The superior frontal sulcus nearly always intersects the frontal pole plane, but the inferior frontal sulcus typically had to be extended to the frontal pole plane to complete the antero-inferior border of the middle frontal gyrus. The inferior and anterior border of the inferior frontal gyrus (regardless of any naturally occurring sulci) was completed by connecting the frontal pole plane to the inferior rostral sulcus (IRS), which typically is an extension of the inferior precentral sulcus. Antero-laterally, there is no consistent sulcal boundary to delimit prefrontal cortex from the cytoarchitectonically distinct frontal pole. Therefore, a frontal pole plane (dashed line) was defined as the coronal section (in an anterior/posterior-commissure oriented brain) passing through the anterior termination of the olfactory sulcus. This frontal pole plane defined the anterior limit of the superior and middle frontal gyri (regardless of any naturally occurring sulci that infrequently might be present). Frequently the superior rostral sulcus does not extend to the frontal pole plane and in these cases the anterior-medial boundary of the superior frontal gyrus was completed by connecting the frontal pole plane to the superior rostral sulcus (along the superior edge where the surface transitions from the medial bank to the lateral convexity). Laterally, the superior frontal sulcus (SFS) and inferior frontal sulcus (IFS) defined the boundary between the superior/middle frontal gyri and middle/inferior frontal gyrus respectively. The superior frontal sulcus nearly always intersects the frontal pole plane, but the inferior frontal sulcus typically had to be extended to the frontal pole plane to complete the antero-inferior border of the middle frontal gyrus. The inferior and anterior border of the inferior frontal gyrus, moving from posterior to anterior, progressed from (a) the circular sulcus in the operculum to (b) the sulcus immediately ventral to the horizontal ramus (HR) of the lateral fissure to (c) the most prominent dorsally-progressing sulcus immediately anterior to the anterior termination of the horizontal ramus to (d) the inferior frontal sulcus. Connections between these sulci were bridged when necessary. Using the nomenclature of other authors, the sulci from stages (a)–(c) of this progression would represent the lateral frontomarginal and/or radiate sulcus of Perrettides & Pandya or one of the variants of the fronto-orbital sulci of Ono et al. By this definition, the inferior frontal gyrus included a small portion of pars opercularis and pars triangularis, similar to Buchanan et al. (see online Fig. DS1 for a colour version of this figure.)

**Anatomical covariates**

To control for ‘global’ brain differences between groups, covariates were derived using FreeSurfer (version 5.0.4; http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu), a software package for segmentation and cortical surface reconstruction. Separate covariates were derived for volume, area and thickness analyses to match the variable being analysed. Specifically, covariates for volume and area analyses were defined as FreeSurfer’s estimate of total cortical grey matter volume or white matter surface area (summed across left and right hemispheres) respectively, minus total labelled cortical distance maps-derived volume or area summed across the superior, middle and inferior frontal gyri. This subtraction was done because the superior, middle and inferior frontal gyrus regions together constitute an appreciable portion of the total brain and thus including those regions in a covariate for brain size could remove variance specifically associated with the prefrontal cortex itself. An analogous calculation was not possible for thickness (since thickness is an ‘average’ rather than a summed measure); therefore, the covariate for thickness analyses was the FreeSurfer estimate of average thickness across the entire cortical grey matter. Thus, our analyses of thickness in the prefrontal cortex may be biased somewhat towards a negative result since the prefrontal cortex itself was included in the computation of the thickness covariate.

**Statistical analysis**

Group differences in volume, thickness, and area of the prefrontal cortex were assessed using mixed-models that estimated the covariance (correlation) in the residuals due to the sibling relationships as well as hemisphere (using PROC MIXED of SAS 9.1, SAS Institute Inc, Cary, North Carolina, USA). Group, hemisphere, group x hemisphere, gender and age were fixed-effect predictors, and a covariate for ‘global’ brain variation was also included (matched to the corresponding type of dependent variable; see above). IQ was not included as a covariate, since decreased cognitive performance is itself a feature of schizophrenia. Results for area and thickness are presented for each gyral region.
regardless of whether the volume differed across groups, since area and thickness have unique genetic influences.\(^2\) However, to partially control for multiple comparisons, we required the main effect of group to satisfy \(P \leq 0.01\) for any of the three morphometric measures to be considered significant, after which pair-wise comparisons (two-tailed) between groups were examined. The results for the threshold to partially control for multiple correlations.

### Prefrontal cortex volume

There was a significant effect of group on grey matter volume of the middle and inferior, but not the superior frontal gyri. The group effect for middle frontal gyrus volume was because of a reduced volume in the schizophrenia group (10–11%, Table 2 and Fig. 2) relative to the schizophrenia-siblings group and both control groups (all \(P < 0.02\)). In contrast, the group effect for inferior frontal gyros volume was a result of reduced volume in both the schizophrenia group and schizophrenia-siblings group, with the volume of the latter falling intermediate between the schizophrenia group and the two control groups – 11% greater than the schizophrenia group (\(P = 0.06\)), but 7–10% less than the control-siblings group and the control group (\(P = 0.06\) in a contrast of the schizophrenia-siblings group against the average of the two control groups). There was no effect of hemisphere (\(P > 0.24\)), or group x hemisphere interaction (\(P = 0.10\)) on volume of any of the three prefrontal cortex regions.

We conducted a males-only analysis to examine whether the results for inferior and middle frontal gyrus volume might have been confounded because of gender differences across groups. The results of this analysis were consistent with the full cohort of participants; i.e. the main effect of group on grey matter volume remained significant in inferior frontal gyrus (\(F(3,24) = 5.7, P = 0.004\)) and trended towards significant in middle frontal gyrus (\(F(3,33) = 2.5, P = 0.08\); see online supplement).

### Prefrontal cortex area and thickness

The effect of group was not significant for either surface area or cortical thickness of any of the three regions. There was no group x hemisphere interaction for either measure for any of the three prefrontal cortex regions (\(P = 0.07\) for area of superior frontal gyros, otherwise \(P > 0.23\)), and no main effect of hemisphere on area of any region (\(P > 0.3\)). There was a strong main effect of hemisphere on thickness in all three regions (\(F = 44, P < 0.0001\)), with the direction of this asymmetry differing across the regions (left \(>\) right in superior frontal gyrus, right \(>\) left in middle and inferior frontal gyri).

Examination of the correlation between thickness and area, computed across all participants, indicated that these two measures yielded largely independent information regarding prefrontal morphometry (Spearman’s \(\rho < 0.2\), for both hemispheres in all three regions). Nonetheless, at the level of the group averages, the patterns of both area and thickness values across groups were qualitatively similar to the volume differences across groups within the middle and inferior frontal gyri (i.e. smallest in the schizophrenia group; intermediate in the schizophrenia-siblings group for inferior frontal gyrus). However, only the volume measure, which is conceptually the product of area and thickness, detected statistically significant group differences in this study.

### Covariates

The main effect of group on middle and inferior frontal gyros volume remained significant when dichotomous covariates for lifetime history of substance dependence, mood disorders and anxiety disorders were included in the mixed model (middle frontal gyros: \(F(3,67) = 4.1, P = 0.01\); inferior frontal gyros: \(F(3,64) = 3.9, P = 0.01\)). Furthermore, each of these covariates themselves had a non-significant influence on middle and inferior frontal gyros volume (\(P > 0.1\) for all). These results, along with the similar aggregate lifetime histories of the schizophrenia-siblings

### Table 2 Adjusted superior, middle and inferior frontal gyri measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>SCZ, least square mean (s.e) [% difference relative to the SCZ-SIB value]</th>
<th>SCZ-SIB, least square mean (s.e) [% difference relative to the SCZ-SIB value]</th>
<th>CON-SIB, least square mean (s.e) [% difference relative to the SCZ-SIB value]</th>
<th>CON, least square mean (s.e) [% difference relative to the SCZ-SIB value]</th>
<th>(F)</th>
<th>(P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Superior frontal gyros</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>24.6 (0.86) ([-7.5])</td>
<td>26.6 (0.92)</td>
<td>26.5 (0.66) ([-0.4])</td>
<td>27.1 (0.58) ([1.9])</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td>61.9 (1.98) ([-2.8])</td>
<td>63.7 (2.04)</td>
<td>61.3 (1.50) ([-3.8])</td>
<td>63.5 (1.41) ([-0.3])</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>3.58 (0.054) ([-4.5])</td>
<td>3.75 (0.060)</td>
<td>3.77 (0.054) ([-0.5])</td>
<td>3.77 (0.051) ([-0.5])</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle frontal gyros</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume(^5)</td>
<td>18.6 (0.56) ([-1.14])</td>
<td>21.0 (0.56)</td>
<td>20.7 (0.58) ([-1.4])</td>
<td>20.7 (0.53) ([-1.4])</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td>51.5 (1.17) ([-3.9])</td>
<td>53.3 (1.24)</td>
<td>53.3 (1.15) ([-3.3])</td>
<td>53.5 (1.15) ([-3.3])</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>3.18 (0.052) ([-3.6])</td>
<td>3.30 (0.047)</td>
<td>3.29 (0.046) ([-0.3])</td>
<td>3.29 (0.041) ([-0.3])</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior frontal gyros</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume(^6)</td>
<td>11.2 (0.51) ([-9.7])</td>
<td>12.4 (0.52)</td>
<td>13.8 (0.38) ([11.3])</td>
<td>13.3 (0.37) ([7.3])</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td>29.8 (1.08) ([-4.8])</td>
<td>31.3 (1.04)</td>
<td>33.2 (0.78) ([6.1])</td>
<td>32.3 (0.77) ([3.2])</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>3.39 (0.073) ([-4.0])</td>
<td>3.53 (0.064)</td>
<td>3.63 (0.060) ([2.8])</td>
<td>3.62 (0.060) ([2.5])</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SCZ, schizophrenia group; SCZ-SIB, schizophrenia-siblings group; CON, control group; CON-SIB, control-siblings group.

a. Values are least square means by participant group for superior, middle and inferior frontal gyros volume (cm\(^3\)), area (cm\(^2\)) and thickness (mm) from a mixed model with group, hemisphere, group x hemisphere, age, gender and corresponding ‘global’ brain covariance (non-prefrontal cortical grey matter volume, non-prefrontal white matter surface area and mean cortical thickness for volume, area and thickness respectively) as fixed effects. As the least square means collapse across hemisphere, the values for volume and area should be interpreted as those for a single representative ‘average’ hemisphere (i.e. the volume and area values need to be doubled for an approximation of the whole brain total). \(F\) and \(P\) are for the main effect of group (using type II tests). The degrees of freedom (d.f.) for the \(F\) statistic were 3 for the numerator, and ranged between 56 and 67 for the denominator.

b. Schizophrenia group < schizophrenia-siblings group (\(P = 0.004\), control-siblings group (\(P = 0.02\), control group (\(P = 0.008\)) in pair-wise (two-tailed) \(t\)-tests within the context of the mixed model.

c. Schizophrenia group < schizophrenia-siblings group (trend, \(P = 0.06\), control-siblings group (\(P < 0.001\), control group (\(P = 0.002\), schizophrenia-siblings group < control-siblings group (\(P = 0.04\)) in pair-wise tests.
group and control-siblings group on these variables, suggest that
the inferior frontal gyrus deficit in the schizophrenia-siblings

group cannot be simply attributed to the presence of non-
psychotic Axis I disorders.

The groups differed on the three measures used as covariates
to control for overall brain changes (at \( P < 0.05 \), rather than
\( P < 0.01 \), for two of the measures; Table 1). Mixed model analyses
of the prefrontal cortex measures without their associated brain
covariate indicated at least trend-level evidence for group
differences (\( P < 0.1 \) for main effect of group) for all measures
and regions except for area of the superior frontal gyrus, indicat-
ing that superior, middle and inferior frontal gyrus morphometry
was broadly altered in these individuals, even if a portion of these
differences reflected changes proportional to overall brain
differences rather than regional changes.

Relationships of structural measures with
psychopathology and neurocognition

The correlation of middle and inferior frontal gyrus volume
(summed across left and right hemispheres) with duration of
illness, crystallised IQ, the three domain scores of psychopathology
and four neurocognition scores was separately assessed in the
schizophrenia group and schizophrenia-siblings group. Correla-
tions were controlled for non-prefrontal cortical grey matter volume as covariates. Thin grey lines indicate the mean of the raw values. L, left; R, right; SCZ, schizophrenia group; SCZ-SIB, schizophrenia-siblings group; CON, control group; CON-SIB, control-siblings group.
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Discussion

Our results are consistent with regional abnormalities in the
structure of the prefrontal cortex of individuals with schizo-
phrenia and their siblings. Grey matter volume was reduced in
both the middle and inferior frontal gyri in individuals with
schizophrenia, relative to those in both control groups. However,
only in the inferior frontal gyrus was the grey matter volume in
the schizophrenia-siblings group also reduced, resulting in a
volume intermediate between the schizophrenia group and both
control groups. These group effects were demonstrated while
controlling for ‘global’ brain changes in non-prefrontal cortical
grey matter volume, which itself differed between groups.

Structural phenotypes for schizophrenia risk

Of the structural measures investigated in this study, grey matter
volume of the inferior frontal gyrus was most clearly related to
familial risk for schizophrenia. Additive genetic factors represent
a likely source for the presence of inferior frontal gyrus deficits
in both the affected and unaffected siblings, thus implicating
inferior frontal gyrus volume as a potential endophenotype for
schizophrenia. Although shared environmental factors (e.g.
similar intrauterine factors) cannot be excluded as a source of
these inferior frontal gyrus deficits, twin and adoption studies
indicate that shared environmental factors have a negligible
contribution to the manifestation of schizophrenia itself. Middle
frontal gyrus volume deficits were only observed in the schizo-
phrenia group, which suggests that changes in middle
frontal gyrus structure are related to the presence of disease rather
than familial risk.

We are not aware of other studies that employed manual
frontal parcellation (of either a magnetic resonance imaging
volume or a sulcal/gyral surface model) to investigate the
localisation of prefrontal cortical changes in the relatives of
individuals with schizophrenia. However, regional brain
morphometry in such unaffected family members has been
investigated using automated whole-brain analysis tools. In one
large cohort of individuals, assessed using both voxel-based
morphometry and FreeSurfer, there was no evidence for decreased
grey matter volume or thickness in the lateral frontal gyrus of
siblings without psychosis compared with healthy controls, even
at exploratory thresholds. Similar null results in the lateral
prefrontal cortex of relatives have been reported by others. However,
other family studies have reported associations
between genetic liability to schizophrenia and reduced grey matter
in middle and inferior frontal gyri. Our results support a
relationship between genetic risk and grey matter volume in the
inferior, but not middle frontal gyrus. The discrepant results
across studies could be a result of either variation in analysis
methods or true cohort differences.
Prefrontal structural deficits in individuals with schizophrenia

At least six previous in vivo neuroimaging studies of individuals with schizophrenia have partitioned the prefrontal cortex into the superior, middle and inferior frontal gyri. Four of these six studies found evidence for deficits in inferior frontal gyrus volume in the individuals with schizophrenia, whereas two found evidence for middle frontal gyrus deficits, and only one found evidence for superior frontal gyrus deficits. Notably, voxel-based morphometry studies also report deficits more frequently in inferior than middle frontal gyri in individuals with schizophrenia compared with controls.42 Other automated whole-brain analyses provide further support for the existence of deficits in the inferior frontal gyrus, with comparatively spared middle frontal gyrus.43–45 This suggests that grey matter reduction in lateral prefrontal cortex in schizophrenia is most prominent in the inferior frontal gyrus, and progressively less so in the middle and superior frontal gyri, a conclusion consistent with the present study.

Relationships with psychopathology and neurocognition

The observed correlation between smaller inferior frontal gyrus volumes and increased negative symptoms in the schizophrenia group is consistent with literature linking inferior frontal cortex to language function, motivation and goal-directed action generation.46–49 Although the siblings of individuals with schizophrenia also exhibit increased negative symptoms, a correlation between inferior frontal gyrus volume and negative symptoms was not evident in the schizophrenia-siblings group, suggesting that disease-specific factors could be driving this association in the affected siblings. Given the role of subregions of the middle frontal gyrus (i.e. Brodmann's area 46) in executive control and working memory, we had also hypothesised, but did not find, a correlation of middle frontal gyrus volume with either of these cognitive domains in the schizophrenia group (see Zuffante et al for a similar result).50 Overall, more studies are necessary before firm conclusions can be drawn regarding the correlation (or lack thereof) of cognitive and clinical variables with structural measures at the level of sublobular (e.g. gyral) partitions.

Limitations

The sample size of this study was large enough to detect significant main effects of group for inferior and middle frontal gyrus volume (representing pair-wise group differences of 10–20%), but likely too small to reliably detect (e.g. with 80% power) differences on the order of 5–10% between groups.51 Notably, however, there was not even trend-level evidence for either superior or middle frontal gyrus volume as an intermediate phenotype in the unaffected siblings since the volume of both gyri in the schizophrenia-siblings group was very similar to the controls. Formally testing the heritability of inferior frontal gyrus volume (i.e. ‘familiality’ in the context of our sibling study design)52 could strengthen the case for inferior frontal gyrus volume as a potential endophenotype of genetic risk for schizophrenia. Also, the groups differed in their gender distribution, although gender was included as a covariate and a males-only analysis supported the results obtained using all participants.

The effects of antipsychotic medication on cortical tissue are a potential confound in interpreting studies of brain changes in schizophrenia. In one study involving monkeys, chronic exposure to haloperidol or olanzapine reduced the weight of the frontal cortex compared with sham-treated monkeys.53 However, available in vivo imaging data in humans suggests that people with first-episode schizophrenia taking atypical antipsychotics are less likely to exhibit frontal grey matter deficits relative to controls than people on typical antipsychotics.34,54 It remains unknown whether this differential reflects a positive therapeutic effect of atypical antipsychotics or a possible neurotoxic effect of typical agents. Regardless, since atypical antipsychotics were the predominant medication of our schizophrenia group, the implication of these medication studies in humans is that the deficits observed in this group were if anything attenuated relative to a hypothetical sample of similarly aged but non-medicated individuals. Furthermore, our finding of decreased inferior frontal gyrus volume in the unaffected siblings cannot be attributed to medication effects since they had never been medicated.

In the current study, we partitioned prefrontal cortex by delineating gyral regions directly on a model of the white matter surface, which was helpful in visualising the variable folding patterns of prefrontal cortex. The manual nature of this gyral delineation was time consuming and might have introduced additional variability compared with more automated approaches. However, we demonstrated a high degree of both inter- and intrarater consistency in the delineation of the gyral boundaries, and studies using manual definition of regions of interest are still considered the ‘gold-standard’ by many investigators. Studies directly comparing the results of different analysis approaches on a common data-set are necessary in order to better understand the extent to which methodological differences may influence group comparisons.

Previously, we have reported on the structure of the thalamus, caudate, putamen and globus pallidus, and anterior and posterior cingulate gyrus in largely overlapping cohorts of individuals, using analysis approaches specifically designed and validated for these structures. None of these previously examined structures exhibited a statistically significant effect of group on regional volumes after controlling for brain volume. Although the multiple structures raise a potential multiple comparisons issue, we note that we used a more stringent threshold (P<0.001) for the group effect in the present study. Furthermore, the structures investigated in this study have already been implicated in other studies as potentially involved in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia, which partially ameliorates the multiple comparison problem. To further understand the regional specificity of our findings for inferior and middle frontal gyri, whole brain cortical mapping41,44 would permit localisation of the most prominent deficits across the whole cortex, and thus would be a useful complimentary analysis of the current cohort.
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Fossil Fools (2008), dry point etching

Lee Galpin (b. 1958)

My work involves taking photos out of their intended context and creating a new world and life for them via a process called dry point etching. This image was created using a photo of a child from a fashion shoot, dinosaurs from a book and a photo I took of a local petrol station. The juxtaposition of all these disparate images aims to deliver a message about issues that concern us all and particularly our children. I found my artistic voice while attending occupational therapy at the Bethlem 3 years ago. The first time I saw my simple scratchings printed onto paper truly was a life-changing moment. I had always concentrated on work in a way that was damaging to my health. I now have an aim to my day, not just to work as many hours as I can, but to create something of which I can be proud, something that serves my spirit and my mind.